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Introduction

IT Managers may approach backing up Storage Area Networks (SANs) with the idea of purchasing native Fibre Channel tape drives or libraries. This paper examines the technical and economic considerations of implementing an alternative solution for SAN backup and archive; a Fibre Channel-to-SAS Bridge powering SAS Tape drives or libraries. Considerations of which solution to implement will be identified and the benefits of each will be compared on the basis of performance, availability, connectivity, manageability, intelligence and cost.

Although the native Fibre Channel tape options may appear to be less complicated, a Fibre Channel-to-SAS Bridge utilizing SAS tape drives, such as an ATTO FibreBridge™, provides significant advantages.
Data Center Ready

The explosion of Big Data and the need to store critical digital content at the petabyte level and beyond are forcing organizations to look beyond traditional storage solutions. As tape capacities grow, new tape technologies are continuing to emerge to help solve today's growing data needs. Tape is quickly becoming the de facto standard for Big Data storage solutions, and new tape drive densities are pushing the archive in new directions. According to Gartner tape is in heavy use for enterprise backup with 78% of companies polled use tape in their data center. Data rates are doubling every two years and Enterprises expect to manage 50x more data and files and expect growth to be over 75x more data in the next decade. With lower energy usage and maintenance LTO Tape costs 15x less than SATA disk for long term archival making it the ideal medium for backup and archival of data for corporate or regulatory compliance purposes.

Native Fibre Channel Tape

There is a common misconception that native Fibre Channel devices can outperform SAS drives. The reality is that the type of interface used by the drive does not change its ability to write or read data to tape. Tape performance is a function of how fast the drive can move data from its input buffers to the media, not the speed of the interface itself. So the fact that an 8Gb Fibre Channel LTO drive has a faster interface than a 6Gb SAS drive never comes into play.

Regardless, the task of using a backup application to write a block of data to tape, even compressed, will not reach maximum performance. Most applications only use one outstanding command at a time and usually only send 64K of data in each block. The ATTO FibreBridge™ can actually increase performance by utilizing its SpeedWrite™ function. The bridge will buffer up write commands as well as data to effectively allow more than one command to be outstanding at any point in time. The result is that a host can issue new write commands to a FibreBridge™ SAS target in faster succession than is possible with standard architecture. The path between the host and the bridge is continuously filled with data, reducing the latency associated with pauses.

The bridge also provides value in that it can balance the performance to multiple drives concurrently.
Availability

End users tend to lean toward native Fibre Channel tape drives because they feel they have the ability to design for multiple redundant paths into the drives which will increase the probability that unattended backups will complete overnight. Fibre Channel SANs do offer an easy way to allow multiple connections and redundant paths between servers and tape drives. Some native Fibre drives even come with two independent ports.

What customers do not realize is that they can get the same level of redundant paths using an ATTO FibreBridge™ with SAS tape drives. The front end of the bridge has two independent Fibre Channel ports. Each SAS tape drive can be mapped to both ports providing two independent paths for each drive.

By assigning a standby port, the FibreBridge increases data reliability through available redundant paths. Should an error occur with one of the active ports, data can be automatically re-routed to the standby port. This provides additional data redundancy and improved disaster recovery for backup systems.

The bridge itself does present a single point of failure (as does a single Fibre Channel switch) but the failure rate of this device compared to the tape drives, media, cables, optical transceivers and everything else in the configuration is relatively low.
Manageability

Native Fibre drives do not provide very comprehensive management capabilities. In fact, most allow only limited capabilities in-band over the Fibre Channel link. Specialized management software packages need to be purchased to query the status of the drives. Since each drive is connected directly to the SAN, Administrators need to manage each drive independently, again increasing equipment and management costs.

The ATTO FibreBridge products allow for consolidated management of all drives and the media changer in one place using the ATTO ExpressNAV™ System Manager browser-based remote monitoring and management GUI. This tool is supplied with every bridge at no additional cost and includes such features as SNMP monitoring capabilities, extra large advanced event and error logging with SNTP-based time stamp recording, link up/down monitoring; SAS drive health monitoring, temperature and voltage monitoring and many more easy-to-use configuration capabilities. In addition, the FibreBridge™ allows for in-field troubleshooting and diagnostics via a built-in Fibre Channel and SAS bus trace log that provides bus analyzer-like capabilities. A key total cost of ownership factor to consider is how long it takes to identify and resolve an issue once a hardware failure occurs. The troubleshooting assist capabilities provided in the FibreBridge products can reduce down time considerably.

The FibreBridge can also communicate in-band over the Fibre Channel link to provide monitoring and management for customers who already have Fibre Channel SAN Management tools in place.

Intelligence

SANs are one of the leading methods to implement cloud-based, virtualized server and other high-end enterprise server/storage based environments and customers need to use special purpose-built products to manage these installs. Adding intelligence is the key to adding value to the network.

The intelligence the ATTO FibreBridge™ products add to managing the backup environment is one of the most significant benefits over native Fibre tape. Some of the benefits provided by the bridge include:

- Virtual Drive Response (VDR). This feature allows the FibreBridge™ to respond to an inquiry from a host in proxy of a drive that may be busy. This comes into play when multiple hosts are controlling backups through the same library. If host #1 is backing up to a partitioned tape drive while host #2 tries to query the same drive, the drive will not respond because it is busy with the backup. This typically results in the backup application on host #2 getting confused. The result is either the tape drive is not detected or the application hangs.

- Mapping. The FibreBridge™ allows Administrators to map specific drives to one or both Fibre Channel ports, as well as to one or more host computers. Controlled access and security can easily be managed from a single point in the bridge.

- FC Tape Error Recovery. The FibreBridge™ supports advanced error level recovery algorithms that increase the probability of backups completing. Native Fibre drives do not have this capability.

- SNMP Traps. The FibreBridge™ supports standardized SNMP Traps to deliver systems administrators a quick and concise synopsis of the Tape Library system’s status via the Ethernet management port.
Cost

System Administrators need to consider the entire cost of the solution when comparing native Fibre Tape to a Fibre Channel-to-SAS Bridge. On average, a native Fibre Channel tape drive costs around $750 more than the same drive with a SAS interface. Each native drive requires a dedicated Fibre Channel switch port. At approximately $500 per port this can add up quickly. The need for additional Fibre Channel cables and optical transceivers also results in a considerably higher native Fibre Tape solution cost.

Consider the following real life example. A user with a tape library that houses four LTO-6 drives wants to create a managed backup solution that runs from two servers at the best possible performance.

### Estimated Cost Differential Analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item Required</th>
<th>Native FC Tape Solution</th>
<th>ATTO FibreBridge Solution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tape library with media changer</td>
<td>Same</td>
<td>Same</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two (2) Servers</td>
<td>Same</td>
<td>Same</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two (2) Fibre Channel Adapters</td>
<td>Same</td>
<td>Same</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difference in Tape Drive Cost</td>
<td>$4 \times $750 = $3000</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Port FC Switch with transceivers</td>
<td>$4100</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management SW for Tape Drives</td>
<td>$2000</td>
<td>Included</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FibreBridge 6500</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$5100 (Street)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optical Fibre Channel Cables</td>
<td>$6 \times $75 = $450</td>
<td>$2 \times $74 = $150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAS Cables</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$1 \times $100 = $100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total on Differences:**

$9550 \quad $5350

It will cost more approximately 79% more to implement a Fibre Channel Tape Backup solution using native Fibre Channel drives compared to using a Fibre Channel-to-SAS Bridge, such as the ATTO FibreBridge™ 6500.